Appendix 1 – Network Management and Enforcement

Measure of Success	Source	Achievements 2019-20 (2018-19)	Anticipated work programme 2020-21
All footpaths, bridleways and byways correctly signposted where they leave a metalled road.	C/side Act 1968 NERC Act 2006	382 signs erected across the borough (393)	 Installation of additional signs and replacement signs following loss and damage to ensure the requirements of Countryside Act 1968 s 27 are fulfilled.
All PROW clear of obstructions, misleading notices, other hindrances or impediments to use.	HA 1980 s130	 Enforcement actions saw 4 notice served for copping and 3 for general obstruction (3 and 2, respectively). Additionally 23 "7 day" warnings were issued in relation to cropping offences (24). 0 enforcement actions were required to physically remove obstructions on the PROW network (0). 	Carry out necessary enforcement work in line with adopted protocols to ensure that the duty set out in Highways Act 1980 is fulfilled.
Surface of every PROW is in proper repair, reasonably safe and suitable for the expected use.	HA 1980 s41	A routine maintenance programme is in operation, with a total length of 201 km having received routine strimming during the year. Strimming Is undertaken either once, twice or three times during the growing season.	 The routine maintenance programme will be extended as new PROW requiring routine maintenance are encountered (e.g. paths created through ROWIP), within resource constraints. Officers will continue to work with colleagues in other departments and other partners in order to facilitate additional funding for special projects in relation to PROW wherever possible.
All PROW inspected regularly by or on behalf of	HA 1980 s58	Bridges are inspected every three years, but paths in general are not	Network Management Officers will continue to hold bi-annual meetings

Measure of Success	Source	Achievements 2019-20 (2018-19)	Anticipated work programme 2020-21
the authority.		 inspected due to a lack of resources. This could result in a lack of a legal defence to claim(s) for personal injury. Network Management Officers hold bi-annual meetings with the relevant representative of the walking, cycling and equestrian user groups, are in regular contact with users throughout the year and receive Ramblers reports including Path Watch Reports. 	with the relevant representatives of the walking, equestrian and other user groups to agree work priorities and to discuss the results of the survey work carried out by these groups.
The authority is able to protect and assert the public's rights and meet other statutory duties (e.g. to ensure compliance with the Rights of Way Act 1990).	HA 1980 s130	All cropping obstructions were responded to within 4 weeks of reporting.	Continue to adhere to the response times set out in the current standard.
Waymarks or signposts are provided at necessary locations and are adequate to assist users. Waymarking scheme/initiative in place.	C/side Act 1968 s27	Waymarking is undertaken by staff and contractors as appropriate. Additionally waymarkers are provided to registered PROW volunteers to enable them to replace missing and damaged waymarkers.	Waymarking and signposting will be undertaken as appropriate.

Appendix 1 – Examples of improvement projects delivered

Nantwich Footpath No. 12: 250m of surfacing works and associated drainage improvements:



Wistaston Footpath No. 3: Steps and surfacing works:



Rainow Footpath No. 28, Donkey Bridge: Essential repair to this historic stone arch bridge has enabled the footpath, which forms part of the long distance promoted route the Gritstone Trail, to be re-opened. The repairs were part-funded through contributions from Rainow and Pott Shrigley Parish Councils, the Rambers and the Peak and Northern Footpath Society.





Before After

Poynton Footpath No. 8: Funded by the Council and delivered by volunteers from the local community, path resurfacing has taken place along 340m of Prince Road upto the canal towpath in Higher Poynton.







After

Macclesfield Forest Footpath No. 27: investment to place a footbridge damaged in floods in this popular walking area:





Before After

Barthomley Footpath No. 10:investment in the replacement of a bridge structure to provide a more accessible and durable asset:





Before

After

Wincle Footpath No. 4: installation of revetment, handrail and steps on an eroded path to create a safer and more accessible route:





Before After

Accessibility improvements: investment in durable metal path furniture, such as the following example of a gate replacement of a stile on Chelford Footpath No. 4, results in reduced maintenance, reduced liabilities and more accessible routes:





Before After